Novel Polyether Polyurethane/Clay Nanocomposites Synthesized with Organic-Modified Montmorillonite as Chain Extenders

Ping Ni, Jing Li, Jishuan Suo, Shuben Li

State Key Laboratory for Oxo Synthesis and Selective Oxidation, Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China

Received 2 December 2003; accepted 4 May 2004 DOI 10.1002/app.20950 Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

ABSTRACT: A novel polyether polyurethane/clay nanocomposite was synthesized using polytetramethyleneglycol (PTMEG), 4,4'-diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI), 1,6hexamethylenediamine, and modified Na⁺-montmorillonite. Here, organic-modified montmorillonite (O-MMT) was formed by applying 1,6-hexamethylenediamine as a swelling agent to treat Na⁺-montmorillonite. The X-ray analysis showed that exfoliation occurred for the higher O-MMT content (40 wt %) in the polymer matrix. Mechanical analysis indicated that when the O-MMT was used as chain extender to replace a part of 1,2-diaminopropane to form PU/clay nanocomposites, the strength and strain-at-break of the polymer were enhanced with increased content of O-MMT in the matrix. When the O-MMT content attained about 5%, the tensile strength and elongation at break were over two times that of the pure PU. The thermal stability and the glass-transition of O-MMT/PU nanocomposites also increased with increasing the O-MMT content. © 2004 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci 94: 534–541, 2004

Key words: montmorillonite; nanocomposites; polyurethane; intercalation; organoclay

INTRODUCTION

A nanocomposite is a composite material having more than one solid phase with a dimension in the 1 ~ 20nm range.¹⁻⁴ Recently, polymer/clay nanocomposites have attracted a great deal of attention. For example, polymer nanocomposites, such as polyamide,⁵⁻⁷ polyepoxy,^{8,9} polystyrene,^{10,11} poly(ethylene oxide),^{12,13} polycaprolactone,¹⁴ polyimide,¹⁵⁻¹⁹ and polyurethane (PU)^{20–26} with montmorillonite or layered silicates have been developed. Because of the much stronger interfacial forces between the nanometer-sized domains, such nanocomposites exhibit many better physical properties, such as thermal, mechanical, and barrier properties, than those of conventional composites.

PU/clay nanocomposites were first reported by Pinnavaia and colleagues.^{20–27} The authors focused on the compatibility between organic-clay and polyols, and found that montmorillonite clay, exchanged with long chain onium ions (carbon number \geq 12), had good compatibility. It was also found that the clay nanolayers were uniformly dispersed in the polymer matrix and nanolayer exfoliation was achieved, and the mechanical properties and thermal stability of PU/clay nanocomposites were increased.

The aim of this work was to design a new polyether polyurethane nanocomposite based on organic-modified montmorillonite (O-MMT) employed as chain extender in the synthetic process. In our procedure, 1,6hexamethylenediamine (carbon number < 12, with two -NH₂ groups) was used as a swelling agent to treat Na⁺-montmorillonite and was intercalated into clay nanolayers to form the O-MMT. Then, the O-MMT was used as a part of the chain extender replacing a part of 1,2-diaminopropane to form PU/clay nanocomposites. Our research interest focused on the effect of the O-MMT content upon the mechanical properties of the nanocomposites. Tensile stress, elongation at break, thermal stability, glass-transition, and water absorption of the O-MMT/PU nanocomposites were all investigated.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Montmorillonite (Zhangjiako Qinghe Chemical Factory, China) with a cationic exchange capacity of 78.6meq/100g and 1,6-hexamethylenediamine (Tianjing Chemical Reagent Co., China) were used as received. 4,4'-Diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI, Yantai Wanhua Chemical Reagent Co., China) and polytetramethylene glycol (PTMEG, Mn = 2000, Du-

Correspondence to: J. Suo (jssuo@ns.lzb.ac.cn).

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 94, 534–541 (2004) © 2004 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.



[II]

Scheme 1 Reaction pattern followed to obtain the PU segments.

pont) were dehydrated under vacuum at 60°C for 24 h. Dimethylformamide (DMF, 99%, Tianjing Chemical Reagent Co.) and 1,2-diaminopropane (Shanghai Chemical Reagent Co., China) were dried over calcium hydride for two days and then were distilled under vacuum.

Preparation of organophilic clay (O-Mont)

Montmorillonite was first screened with a 300-mesh sieve to remove impurities. 20g of the screened montmorillonite was gradually added to a previously prepared solution of 10.6g Na₂CO₃ dissolved in 200 $\times 10^{-3}$ m³ H₂O at 70°C, and the resultant suspension was vigorously stirred for 24 h. Then the treated montmorillonite was filtered and washed by de-ionized water until complete removal of CO_3^{2-} ions, ensured by titrating with 0.1N CaCl₂. The filter cake was then dried at 70°C for 24 h in vacuum, ground, and screened with a 300-mesh sieve to obtain the Namontmorillonite.²⁸ 10g of the screened Na-montmorillonite was gradually added to a previously prepared solution of 1,6-hexamethylenediamine 12.5g dissolved in 1000 \times 10⁻³ m³ of 0.01N HCl at 70°C, and the resultant suspension was vigorously stirred for 6 h. Then, the white precipitate formed was isolated by filtration, suspended in 400 × 10⁻³ m³ of hot water, and stirred for 2 h. To ensure complete removal of chloride ions, the precipitate was washed repeatedly until no further formation of AgCl was detected after addition of 0.1N AgNO₃ to the washing water. The product was finally filtered and dried in vacuum at 80°C for 24 h, ground, and screened with a 300-mesh sieve to obtain the organoclay.²⁹

Synthesis of PU/clay nanocomposites

Preparation of pure polyurethane (Scheme 1)

5g of 4,4'-Diphenylmethane diisocyanate (MDI) and 19.5g of polytetramethylene glycol (PTMEG) at a molar ratio of 2:1 were dissolved in DMF solvent, then the whole solution was mixed under nitrogen atmosphere for 2 h at 90°C to form a prepolymer. Then, 0.74g of 1,2-diaminopropane was gradually added to the prepolymer with vigorous stirring at room temperature for 4 h to complete the reaction. The PU films were formed by casting the solution onto glass plates and then removing the solvent in vacuum at 70°C for 36 h.³⁰

Preparation of PU/clay nanocomposites (Scheme 2)

Following the procedure of synthesizing PU prepolymer as described in the previous section, different



 $[1] + 0.05 \text{NH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_3^{T} \cdots \overline{\text{Mont}}$

Scheme 2 Reaction pattern followed to obtain the PU/clay segments.

amounts of the O-MMT (1, 2, 3 wt %, etc.) were mixed with 20×10^{-3} m³ DMF and then added to the prepolymer with vigorous stirring at room temperature for 2 h. Subsequently, 0.74g of 1,2-diaminopropane was gradually added to the mixture with vigorous stirring for 4 h to complete the reaction. The PU/clay films were obtained in the same way as the PU films.³⁰

Polymer recovery from PU/clay nanocomposites

 $10 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3$ toluene was added to 1g of the synthesized PU/clay while stirring for 2 h at room temperature. Then, $20 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3$ of a 1% LiCl solution in DMF was gradually added to the suspension. The mixture was

stirred for 48 h to perform the reverse ion-exchange reaction, and then the solution was centrifuged at 10,000rpm for 2 min. The supernatant liquid after centrifugation was poured into methanol, and the resultant solid was filtered and dried in vacuum.^{29,30}

Characterization

X-ray diffraction measurements (XRD) were performed with a D/Max-2400 Rigaku diffractometer with Ni-filtered Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.15418$ nm). The scanning rate was 2°C min⁻¹ over a range of 2 $\theta = 2$ – 15°. Molecular weights of the polymer were determined by a Waters 2695–2410 gel permeation chroma-



Figure 1 XRD patterns of (a) montmorillonite, and (b) organomodified montmorillonite.

tography (GPC) system with DMF as the solvent. The calibration curves for GPC were obtained by using polystyrene as standards.

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) data were obtained with a DSC822E under N₂ atmosphere with a heating rate of 10°C min⁻¹. The thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out on a Perkin–Elmer 7 Series thermal analysis system at a scan rate of 10°C min⁻¹ in a nitrogen atmosphere. Tensile properties of the sample were tested with an Instron mechanical tester (model DY-35) at a crosshead speed of 0.5m min⁻¹. The I-shaped specimens were prepared with 4 × 10⁻³m in width, 16 × 10⁻³m in length, and 2 × 10⁻⁴m in thickness. For each datum point, five samples were tested, and the average value was taken.

The water absorption methods of pure PU and PU/ clay nanocomposites was carried out according to the specifications of ASTM D570, and the test specimens were cut in the shape of $76.2 \times 25.4 \times 1 \times 10^{-3}$ m. The specimens were dried in vacuum at 80°C for 24 h, cooled in a desiccator, and then immediately weighed with 0.001g precision to get the initial weight (W_0) . Subsequently, the conditioned specimens were entirely immersed into a container of deionized water maintained at 25 ± 0.2 °C for 24 h, taken out of the water, and the surface water on specimens was removed with a dry cloth. Then, the specimens were weighed immediately to get the final weight (W_1) . The percentage of increased weight of the samples was calculated with 0.01% precision by using the formula $(W_1 - W_0) / W_0.^{24}$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Polymer synthesis

In Schemes 1 and 2, the synthetic process and simplified chemical structure of PU and O-MMT/PU are shown. As shown in Scheme 1, in the first step, PT-MEG reacts with MDI at a 2*M* ratio of PTMEG/MDI to form an isocyanate-terminated prepolyurethane. In the second step, stoichiometric amount of 1,2-diaminopropane was used as a chain extender to produce PU. Correspondingly, as reported in Scheme 2, O-MMT was used as chain extender to produce O-MMT/PU nanocomposites in the second step. Finally, in the third step of the reaction, 1,2-diaminopropane was added, leading to formation of the O-MMT/PU nanocomposites with the O-MMT content varying regularly from 0 to 50 wt %.

Structural characterization

The X-ray diffraction patterns of the montmorillonite and the O-MMT are shown in Figure 1. The first diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 7.04^{\circ}$ and 6.30° , corresponding to a spacing of montmorillonite and O-MMT platelets of 1.255 and 1.403 nm, respectively, indicate that the silicate layer galleries in the montmorillonite were intercalated by 1,6-hexamethylenediamine. The second diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 8.38^{\circ}$, the peak of montmorillonite weaker than that of O-MMT, could be explained by the fact that the silicate layer galleries in the montmorillonite were also intercalated by 1,6hexamethylenediamine at $2\theta = 8.38^{\circ}$ and the silicate layer galleries in the montmorillonite were increased.

The X-ray patterns of PU, PU5, PU10, PU20, PU30, and PU40 are shown in Figure 2. It is clear that in the XRD patterns of PU/clay nanocomposites, peaks at 2θ = 6.30 and 8.38° were all totally absent. The situpolymerization of 1,6-hexamethylenediamine and prepolymer form PU/clay nanocomposites in the silicate layers, and the silicate layers were larger. Such results



Figure 2 XRD patterns of PU and O-MMT/PU nanocomposites: (a) PU; (b) 5% O-MMT/PU; (c) 10% O-MMT/PU; (d) 20% O-MMT/PU; (e) 30% O-MMT/PU; (f) 40% O-MMT/PU; and (g) 50% O-MMT/PU.



Figure 3 The molecular weight (Mn) and the polydispersity of PU and O-MMT/PU at different chain extender ratios: (a) the molecular weight (Mn); (b) the polydispersity.

suggest the exfoliation of the clay platelets in the polymeric matrix.^{24–30} When the clay weight fraction reaches high content (O-MMT, 40.0 wt %), the montmorillonite platelets were also dispersed in the polymer matrix.

The molecular weight (Mn) and polydispersity (D) of PU, O-MMT/PU nanocomposites, and recovered PU from organoclay/PU nanocomposites at different chain extender ratios are given in Figure 3. It was found that Mn and D were strongly affected by the addition of O-MMT. Compared to the pure PU, Mn and D of 1% O-MMT/PU, 5% O-MMT/PU, and 8% O-MMT/PU showed only a slight change at different chain extender ratios. Mn of those nanocomposites was higher than that of pure PU. Such results suggest

that the mechanical properties of 1% O-MMT/PU, 5% O-MMT/PU, and 8% O-MMT/PU nanocomposites would be better than those of pure PU, and the thermal properties of those nanocomposites would be higher than those of pure PU.³¹ Results are presented and discussed in the following.

Physical properties

Differential scanning calorimetry

The thermal properties of pure PU and O-MMT/PU nanocomposites were studied by DSC, and these results are shown in Table I. In Table I, the glass transition temperatures of O-MMT/PU nanocomposites

Tranocomposites				
O-MMT content (wt %)	T ^a (°C)	Endo 1 peak, T (°C)	Endo 2 peak, T (°C)	Water absorption (%)
0 (pure PU)	-61.5	2.61	42.18	4.92
1	-61.0	9.77	—	3.37
5	-58.0	10.40	—	3.38
10	-60.0	13.59	—	3.76
20	-60.0	12.08	_	2.12
30	-61.0	9.46	—	2.04
40	-60.0	8.92	—	2.83
50	-60.5	9.77	—	3.15

TABLE I Endothermic Transitions and Water Absorption of Pure PU and O-MMT/PU Nanocomposites

^a glass transition temperatures.

were between -58 and -61.0°C, being nearly identical to that of pure PU at -61.5°C. The effect of O-MMT, dispersed in the free volume of PU, was insignificant in influence on the glass transition temperature of pure PU. The pure PU exhibited two broad endothermic transitions at higher temperatures (Endo 1 and Endo 2) and a tiny exothermic transition at 100.0°C. O-MMT/PU nanocomposites had only one broad endothermic transition (Endo 1) at a higher temperature than that of the pure PU (Endo 1). This trend might be explained as two phases in the polymer matrix: Endo 1 in the pure PU, identified with disruption of soft segment/hard segment bonds or disruption of shortrange order within the hard segment microdomains; and Endo 2, which was related to the breakup of interurethane hydrogen bonds.³² When O-MMT was used as chain extender to replace a part of the 1,2diaminopropane, the montmorillonite was dispersed in the polymer matrix. The chain motions of polymer molecules in these silicate layers were barred and limited. The crystallizability of O-MMT/PU nanocomposites was reduced, and Endo 2 disappeared for O-MMT/PU nanocomposites.³³ These results can be interpreted by the intercalative behavior of polymers as shown in Figure 2.

TGA analysis

The TGA analysis of pure PU and O-MMT/PU nanocomposites is shown in Figure 4. In Figure 4, in the temperature range from 250 to 650°C, the O-MMT/PU nanocomposites displayed higher thermal resistances than that of pure PU. This could be explained as that the chain motions of polymer molecules in these silicate layers were barred and limited, and therefore thermal properties of O-MMT/PU nanocomposites increased: the more polymer molecule chains attached in the intercalation, the higher was the thermal capability.³⁴

Stress-strain behavior

The experimental influence of the O-MMT content on the tensile mechanical properties of the nanocomposites is shown in Figure 5. It was found that the O-MMT content had a remarkable effect on the mechanical



Figure 4 Dynamic TGA thermograms of PU and O-MMT/PU nanocomposites in a nitrogen environment: (a) PU; (b) 1% O-MMT/PU; (c) 5% O-MMT/PU; (d) 8 and 10% O-MMT/PU; (e) 20% O-MMT/PU; (f) 30% O-MMT/PU; (h) 40 and 50% O-MMT/PU.



Figure 5 Effect of the O-MMT content on the tensile mechanical properties of O-mont/PU nanocomposites.

properties of the nanocomposites. As shown in Figure 5, both the tensile strength and the elongation at break of the O-MMT/PU increased with increased O-MMT content in the range of 1–5 w t%. When O-MMT content was in the range of 1–8%, the tensile strength and the elongation at break were higher than those of pure PU. Compared to the pure PU, the tensile strength and the elongation at break of the 5 wt % O-MMT content were increased by more than 157 and 145%, respectively. When the O-MMT content was higher than 5 wt %, both the tensile strength and the elongation at break of the strength and the elongation at break of the 0-MMT content was higher than 5 wt %, both the tensile strength and the elongation at break of the O-MMT/PU decreased.

All of these results could be explained by M_n of the pure PU and the nanometer O-MMT layers. When the O-MMT content was in the range of 1–8%, M_n of O-MMT/PU nanocomposites was higher than that of pure PU, and the nanocomposites possessed better mechanical properties. When the O-MMT content attained the range of 8–40 wt %, M_n of O-MMT/PU nanocomposites was lower and D of O-MMT/PU nanocomposites was higher than for pure PU; therefore, the tensile strength and the elongation at break of the O-MMT/PU decreased.³⁵ When the O-MMT content was higher than 40 wt %, the O-MMT began to aggregate (as suggested by the XRD in Fig. 2); such

would lead to a further reduction of the tensile strength and the elongation at break of the nanocomposites.

The water absorption results of PU and O-MMT/PU nanocomposites are given in Table I. It shows that the water absorption of O-MMT/PU nano-composites were all slightly lower than that of pure PU.^{24,34,36} Detailed research on these phenomena is ongoing.

CONCLUSION

Novel polyether polyurethane/clay nanocomposites were synthesized successfully with organic-modified montmorillonite as chain extender, and it was observed that the O-MMT was completely exfoliated in such a PU matrix even at very high content (40 wt %). The structures of PU were affected by the presence of the silicate layers in these nanocomposites, as evidenced by their molecular weight and glass transition. The mechanical properties of these O-MMT/PU nanocomposites were strongly influenced by the content of O-MMT, and the tensile strength and the elongation achieved maxima when the O-MMT content was 5%. Additionally, the water absorptions of O-MMT/PU nanocomposites in the range of the 1–40 wt % O-MMT content were all slightly lower than that of pure PU. The thermal properties of O-MMT/PU nanocomposites were also higher than those of the pure PU.

REFERENCES

- 1. Novak, B. M. Adv Mater 1993, 5, 422.
- 2. Giannelis, E. P. Adv Mater 1996, 8, 29.
- 3. Gleiter, H. Adv Mater 1992, 4, 474.
- Ziolo, R. F.; Giannelis, E. P.; Weinstein, B. A.; O'Horo, M. P.; Granguly, B. N.; Mehrota, V.; Russell, M. W.; Hoffman, D. R. Science 1992, 257, 219.
- Usuki, A.; Kawasumi, M.; Kojima, Y.; Okada, A.; Kurauchi, T.; Kamigaito, O. J Mater Res 1993, 8, 1174.
- Usuki, A.; Kawasumi, M.; Kojima, Y.; Okada, A.; Fukushima, Y.; Kurauchi, T.; Kamigaito, O. J Mater Res 1993, 8, 1179.
- Kojima, Y.; Usuki, A.; Kawasumi, M.; Okada, A.; Kurauchi, T.; Kamigaito, O. J Polym Chem 1993, 31, 983.
- 8. Wang, M. S.; Pinnavaia, T. J Chem Mater 1994, 6, 468.
- 9. Wang, Z.; Pinnavaia, T. J Chem Mater 1998, 10, 1820.
- 10. Zeng, C.; Lee, L. J Macromolecules 2001, 34, 4098.
- Weimer, M. W.; Chen, H.; Giannelis, E. P.; Sogah, D. Y. J Am Chem Soc 1999, 121, 1615.
- Bujdák, J.; Hackett, E.; Giannelis, E. P. Chem Mater 2000, 12, 2168.
- 13. Hackett, E.; Manias, E.; Giannelis, E. P. Chem Mater 2000, 12, 2161.
- Messersmith, P. B.; Giannelis, E. P. J Polym Sci, Part A: Polym Chem 1995, 33, 1047.
- Lan, T.; Kaviratna, P. D.; Pinnavaia, T. J Chem Mater 1994, 6, 573.
- 16. Tyan, H. L.; Liu, Y. C.; Wei, K. H. Polymer 1999, 40, 4877.
- 17. Tyan, H. L.; Liu, Y. C.; Wei, K. H. Chem Mater 1999, 11 1942.
- Tyan, H. L.; Wei, K. H.; Hsieh, T. E. J Polym Sci, Part B: Polym Phys 2000, 38, 2873.

- 19. Tyan, H. L.; Liu, Y. C.; Wei, K. H. Chem Mater 2001, 13, 222.
- 20. Wang, Z.; Pinnavaia, T. J. Chem Mater 1998, 10, 3769.
- 21. Zilg, C.; Thomann, R.; Mulhaupt, R.; Finter, J. Adv Mater 1999, 11, 49.
- 22. Xu, R.; Manias, E.; Snyder, A. J.; Runt, J. Macromolecules 2001, 34, 337.
- 23. Chen, T. K.; Tien, Y. I.; Wei, K. H. J Polym Sci, Part A: Polym Chem 1999, 37, 2225.
- 24. Chen, T. K., Tien, Y. I.; Wei, K. H. Polymer 2000, 41, 1345.
- 25. Tien, Y. I.; Wei, K. H. Polymer 2001, 42, 3213.
- 26. Tien, Y. I.; Wei, K. H. J Polym Res 2000, 7, 245.
- 27. Tien, Y. I.; Wei, K. H. Macromolecules 2001, 34, 9045.
- 28. He, P. S.; Cheng, X.; Lu, C. H. J. Functional Polymers. 2000, 13,440.
- 29. Mariarosaria, T.; Vittoria, V.; Giancarlo, G.; Stefano, R.; Emo, C. Macromol Mater Eng 2002, 287, 243.

- Mariarosaria, T.; Vittoria, V.; Giancarlo, G.; Stefano, R.; Emo, C. Polymer 2002, 43, 6147.
- 31. Van Krevelen, D. W. Properties of Polymers—Their Estimation and Correlation with Chem Structure. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company: Amsterdam–Oxford–New York, 1976; Chapter 2.
- Crawford, D. M.; Bass, R. G.; Hass, T. W. Thermochimica Acta 1998, 323, 53.
- Jian, S. C.; Ji, X. L.; An, L. J.; Ji, B. Z. Acta Polymerica Sinica 2000, 4, 452.
- Ward, W. J.; Gaines, G. L.; Algar, M. M.; Stanley, T. J. J Membrane Sci 1991, 55, 173.
- 35. Wen, Q. Z.; Zhu, J. H.; Yao, S. R. Polymer Materials Science & Engineering 2002, 4, 117.
- Yano, K.; Usuki, A.; Kurauchi, T.; Kamigaito, O. J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem 1993, 31, 2493.